April 8. 2013

"FREEMEN, SOVEREIGN CITIZENS, AND THE THREAT TO PUBLIC ORDER IN BRITISH HERITAGE COUNTRIES"

Stephen A. Kent Department of Sociology University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta Canada T6G 2H4

Paper presented at the European Federation of Centres of Research and Information on Sectarianism (FECRIS), Copenhagen, Denmark (May 30, 2013). I presented an earlier version of this paper at the International Cultic Studies Association in Montreal, Canada on July 6, 2012.

"FREEMEN, SOVEREIGN CITIZENS, AND THE THREAT TO PUBLIC ORDER IN BRITISH HERITAGE COUNTRIES"

ABSTRACT

Throughout the English-speaking world, an anti-societal movement comprised of unknown thousands of people is rejecting the authority of law enforcement, the courts, and banking. This movement goes by different names, most commonly the Freemen or Sovereign Citizens. Its origins likely began with American racist movements in the 1960s and 1970s, and gathered greater support during the American farm crisis during the late 1970s and 1980s, along with an interest rate crisis in the United States and Canada during the same period. Because adherents see the state as a corporation with no authority over free citizens, members are belligerent toward any authority figures like police, judges, park rangers, tax collectors, and court clerks whom they see as state agents. American police have had several deadly exchanges with members, and Canadian courts have issued two long decisions concerning them and their 'paper terrorism' tactics (i.e., flooding courts with bogus, Freemen-generated 'legal' documents). Variously claiming authority from the Bible, British Common Law, and international maritime law, Freemen throughout the English-speaking world have connected through the Internet and now have non-North American adherents in the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand.

Introduction

Numerous anti-government sentiments fall with movements variously called The Freemen or sovereign citizens, all of whose adherents believe that existing government is illegitimate and holds no legal authority over them. Comprised largely of middle-aged or older males (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 11),1 these groups have been disrupting law enforcement and judicial procedures for decades, but only recently have caught the attention of scholars and the media (not the least because of

¹ MacNab (2011: 12) broke down the generation appeal of the different OPCA groups as follows: "Sovereigns over the age of 60 most likely joined the movement following a personal bankruptcy or argument with government tax collectors. Those in the 35 to 60 year old age group likely joined when they ran into trouble with a mortgage foreclosure or other debt problem. The youngest and newest recruits are either 1) children of sovereigns who were indoctrinated into this absurd belief system by their family, or 2)they were introduced to the belief system through an online conspiracy source such as the '9/11 Truth Movement.' This last group believes that the Bush administration was secretly behind the tragic events of 911."

their heightened exposure on the Internet and the violent actions of some adherents, primarily in the United States). With a considerable degree of certainty one can say that their numbers have grown in recent years, and a group that monitors them indicates that fifty anti-American government groups operated in 2008 and then nearly 200 in 2010 (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 2). Another monitoring group estimates that 100,000 "hard core" American sovereigns exist with another 200,000 people showing various levels of involvement (Southern Poverty Law Center, 2013: 2). No general membership figures exist for Canada, but a late 2010 Facebook page for one of the anti-government groups, the Freemen, listed over 2,000 members (Bell, 2010). A growing body of research exists about these movements in the United States and Canada (see, for example, State Justice Institute 1999); less information is available about them in other parts of the English-speaking world.

I provide an overview of the international anti-government movements related to the Freemen and Sovereign Citizens, identifying key arguments and tactics that adherents use (especially in the United States and Canada). Taking advantage of a growing body of articles, reports, and court cases, I identify the probable origins of the North American movements in the hostility towards government that appeared in the American mid-West in the late 1960s, which were heightened by the American farmers' crash of the 1980s and corresponding jump in interest rates in the U. S. and Canada in that same decade. Subsequent financial crises involving mortgages and banking have occurred in the closing years of the last century and the opening ones of this century, any one of which likely could have delegitimized government and banks in the eyes of persons who became victimized of national and international political and financial policies. I suggest that recent farm crises in the United Kingdom New Zealand, and Australia might contribute to the creation of anti-government citizens in

these countries. I conclude with reflections upon the anti-government's social, political, and economic impact on the societies that they oppose.

A Classification of the Different Anti-government Movements

Among the most comprehensive classification of the different anti-government movements appears in a recent court decision written by a judge in Edmonton, Alberta Canada for a divorce and matrimonial property case in which the respondent participated in one or more anti-government movements. Focusing on the court implications of these movements, Associate Chief Justice J.D. Rooke of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta called the adherents to these movements, "Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument (OPCA) Litigants (Rooke, 2012: para. 1; see Cardwell, 2013). His fivefold classification of the different types of litigants provides a platform to identify and discuss a range of anti-societal beliefs and behavior associated with these people, but we must keep in mind that no belief or behavior is exclusive to a particular litigant type. Particular adherents move in and out of the fivefold litigant typology.

First, the "detaxers" "focused almost entirely on avoiding income tax obligations" (Rooke, 2012: para.169). Politically, they came from both left-wing and right-wing backgrounds, (Rooke, 2012: para. 170), and often were professionals or business people who had significant incomes (Rooke, 2012: para. 171). The often higher economic income of many de-taxers contrasts with the generally "lower income and/or occupational and employment context[s]" Rooke, 2012: para 171). Although other forms of the OPCA movement are more prone to violence, detaxers committed a vicious attack against a California court clerk in the mid-1990s for refusing to accept self-made court documents that numerous anti-government groups were submitting to

courts. In 1997, the court clerk, Karen Mathews, wrote about the attack against her in a letter to the *New York Times*:

'Lady, you would be so easy to kill.' More than three years later, these words still haunt me. My assailant growled this threat as I lay in the darkness on the floor of my garage, stunned and dazed from being beaten, kicked and knifed. Then he put a gun to my head and dry-fired it several times.

This was no random attack or botched burglary. The man who all but killed me was a member of a disciplined organization with a specific mission. And bizarre as it may seem, I was a target because of my job. I am the elected clerk-recorder of Stanislaus County in central California, a sleepy-sounding title until paramilitary groups discovered that harassing and intimidating officials like me is a way to attack the basic workings of government. One of their tactics is to try to file liens against the property of Internal Revenue Service employees and other officials they regard as the enemy.

In California alone, clerk-recorders in 49 of the state's 58 counties have reported incidents ranging from fist-pounding intimidation to threats of physical harm. This is part of a guerrilla war against democracy going on far below the level of an Oklahoma City bombing. I often felt while following the trial of Timothy McVeigh that the events are related in spirit if not in fact.

It is difficult to comprehend or convey the anger and crazy sense of misguided patriotism embraced by these people. For example, after I refused to record one man's illegal 'common law' lien, he told me, 'You are guilty of treason.' He then snarled, 'I am a sovereign citizen of the Republic of California, not the corporate United States, and the laws you enforce restrict my God-given rights.'

I find it hard to discuss some of the details of what happened to me. But I feel an anger that won't go away, not only against the self-styled patriots who harass us, but also against those who express or tolerate a certain "populist" support for anti-government extremism (Mathews, 1997; reprinted 2010).

Nine persons were convicted of assaulting Mathews and committing related crimes, all of whom were associates or members of a Christian-sounding radical detaxing group called the Juris Christian Assembly (Trott, 1999; see Hallissy, 1995). Soon we shall see that the language and self-identification that Mathews's attacker used is common among OPCA members. Perhaps as many as 500,000 tax protesters fight with the Internal Revenue Service over payment issues, but by no means are all of them related to anti-government OPCA litigants (Sothern Poverty Law Center, 2013: 2).

Second, the "Freemen-on-the-Land" movement is "strongly anti-government, and has libertarian and rightwing overtones. Christian rhetoric is common" (Rooke, 2012: para.171), and (according to Rooke) it is a Canadian creation that "spread to other common-law jurisdictions," which include the U.K., Australia, and New Zealand (Rooke, 2012: para. 173). Adherents believe that "they can 'opt out' of societal obligations and do as they like" (Rooke, 2012: para. 174). Many claim that "they have an unrestricted right to possess and use firearms" (Rooke, 2012: para. 175; see O'Flanagan, 2012) and the Canadians:

parallel the American Sovereign Man community. Both engage in a broad range of OPCA activities directed towards almost any government or social obligation. Both habitually use 'fee schedules', and advance claims and liens against state, police, and court actors. Many apply the 'everything is a contract' approach and so are extremely uncooperative in and out of court (Rooke, 2012: para. 175).

"Fee schedules" are similar to fines that Freemen and others attempt to impose upon "state, government, and court actors" if "a certain legal procedure or result occurs, or law enforcement personnel engage in certain conduct" (Rooke, 2012: para. 505).

Claims that "everything is a contract" refer to the argument that an OPCA litigant may use, which claims that "he or she has no obligation unless the litigant has explicitly formed a contract for that obligation" (Rooke, 2012: para. 388).

Third, the "Sovereign Men/Sovereign Citizen movement is the chief U.S. OPCA community," but they have appeared in the Canadian province of Ontario (Rooke, 2012: para.176). Sometimes they call themselves "constitutionalists,' 'freemen,' and 'state citizens" (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 4). For these people, governments are mere corporations attempting to entangle them in unwanted contracts (see Rooke, 2011: para. 178). They can be violent (see Kent and Willey, 2013), and they are noted for flooding courts with their own pseudolegal documents—a technique called "paper terrorism" (Fleishman, 2004; Rooke, 2011: para. 181).

Fourth, an Edmonton, Alberta religious group called The Church of Ecumenical Redemption International (CERI) claims a religious right to use marijuana, and uses religious language to justify its exemption from governmental and court authority (Rooke, 2012: para. 183-186). For example, in 2006, church member Karen Ponto had to be dragged out of a Saskatchewan provincial court for refusing to participate in a case involving two counts of her violation of a child custody order, after which church members accused the judge of having committed treason (*Redemptive Press*, 2006).

Fifth and finally, some followers of the Moorish Law community (in groups such as the Moorish Nation,² the United Mawshakh Nation of Nuurs,³ and the Washitaw

^{2 &}quot;The Moorish Nation is a collection of sovereign citizen organizations,

Nation⁴) exempt themselves from governmental authority (Anti-Defamation League, 2005: 8), and permit themselves the right to engage in fraudulent financial and property schemes (see Calabrese, 2012), which essentially promise followers that they can obtain housing (and in other instances, eliminate their debts or make money) by following bogus programs and procedures and filing meaningless documents with courts. This community:

is a predominately American offshoot of urban American black [M]uslim churches such as the Nation of Islam.⁵ They claim that black [M[uslims who self-identify as 'Moors' are not subject to state or court authority because they are governed by separate law, or are the original inhabitants of North and South America (Rooke, 2012: para. 190, para. 311).

espousing the Islam religion, from the Moorish Science Temple of America.... These organizations make up what members refer to as the 'Moorish Divine and National Movement of the World.' Members consider themselves a free people under English 'common law.' Members of the Moorish Nation use this perceived immunity to justify refusal to pay taxes, buy auto insurance, and defraud banks" (Regional Organized Crime Information Center, 2009: 2).
3 I cannot find any information on this group other than a passing reference

to it that implies it borrows from "various New Age philosophies" (Anti-Defamation League, 2005: 7).

⁴ The Washitaw Nation/Empire "emerged in Louisiana and Texas in the mid-1990s and was most popular during that decade. It is one of several sovereign citizen groups that are essentially Moorish in nature but also claim 'native' status. Washitaw members claim they are descendants of the ancient moundbuilders of the Mississippi Valley. Members have created license plates, diplomatic identification cards and similar fictitious sovereign citizen documents" (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 23, see 28 on the fictitious tribe, the Little Shell Pembina Band of North America, that both American and Canadian authorities shut down for running a pyramid scheme). Sovereign Citizens exist with the Asian, Hispanic, and native Hawaiian communities (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 11).

⁵ As worded, one might get the incorrect impression that the original Moorish Nation Temple of Science (soon called the Moorish Science Temple of America) was an offshoot of the Nation of Islam/Black Muslims. It was not, although it began only a few years before the Nation of Islam's founding and held to similar goals. "The Moorish Science Temple of America (originally the Moorish Temple of Science) was organized in 1925 in Chicago and was legally incorporated in Illinois on November 29, 1926. Noble Drew Ali (born Timothy Drew, d. 1929) was the founding prophet and ultimate authority of the movement.... In Ali's teachings, Islam became a means by which black Americans could strip themselves of the stigma associated with the color of their skin so that they could play a greater role in society (Ghaneabassiri, 2010: 218-219. Regarding the Black Muslims, a man "known variously as David Ford, Wallace D. Fard, and Fard Muhammad, went to Detroit in 1930 where he began to preach his own version of Islam. This led to the formation of the Nation of Islam" (Ghaneabassiri, 2010: 223).

In 2013, a media report indicated that a Moorish national had moved into a large mansion in Bethesda Maryland (which is a suburb of Washington, D.C.) that was for sale for nearly \$6 million, using documents from the so-called "Moorish National Republic" to substantiate his actions. Eventually the Moorish national, Lamont Butler/Lamont Maurice El, moved out, but was facing burglary, theft, and fraud charges for his actions (CBS Interactive, 2013).

Squatting is not limited to people in or related to the Moorish movement—
Freeman on the Land adherents sometimes do it, too. In February 2012, two people in North Bay, Ontario who had become involved with the Freemen received suspended sentences for moving into a house that they did not own. Prospective buyers found the man and woman living in the property with "no trespassing" signs on the outside, and the couple had given "notice to 'Agents and Officers under Foreign Jurisdiction' [that] claimed the property and content were held under 'claim of right' and warned of a \$5,000 fee for entering" (Calabrese, 2012: 1). By the time of the trial, the couple had disassociated themselves from the Freeman movement, with one of them describing their indoctrination into the movement as brainwashing (Calabrese, 2012: 1).

Origins of the Anti-government Movement

We have numerous studies of the anti-government movement from various social agencies and legal writers; what we now need are ethnographies of members in these movements, in which they speak about when and why they became involved. Until we have this information, discussions about the origins of the anti-government movements and OPCA litigants remains speculative. What we can do, however, is identify any preceding movements whose doctrines and teachings resemble what appears in the current situation.

The one preceding movement whose doctrines bore striking resemblance to ones held by the contemporary anti-government movement is the Posse Commitatus, founded in Portland, Oregon in1969 by Henry Beach, who had been a member of the pro-Hitler "Silver Shirts" in the United States during the 1930s (Stern,1996: 50). The doctrines that his group developed combined anti-taxation with government take-over conspiracies, anti-Semitism, and a virulent hatred of officials above a county level. (The term, "posse commitatus" meant "power to the county," so even federal park rangers were illegal agents in Posse members' eyes. Posse literature contained discussion about building a scaffold for lynching government officials who committed "unconstitutional" acts (Stern, 1996: 51). Many members prepared for war through training exercises that may be the forerunners of what many contemporary militia movements do, and in 1983 fugitive Posse member, Gordon Kahl, died in a shoot-out and fire after he had killed three police (Stern, 1996: 52-53).

Very similar sentiments, along with occasional murderous violence, appeared in Freemen behaviour toward legal officials in the mid-1990s. In support of a militia member whose failure to pay taxes led to his loss of property. Angry Freemen walked through the courthouse and near the judge's chambers after the police had a tip that they wanted to lynch a judge (Stern, 1996: 91). Just as Possee Comitatus member, Gordon Kahl, killed his initial two police victims when officers tried to arrest him, so too have sovereign citizens in the United States killed police—with seven officers dying in confrontations (often during routine traffic stops) involving them (FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, 2011; see Anti-Defamation League, 2005:1; Southern Poverty Law Center, 2013).

Social and Economic Conditions That Might Have Fostered Anti-government

Sentiment

Much of the final quarter of the twentieth century, and then the years in this new millennium, has been strewn with such dire economic catastrophes that capitalism itself seemed to be unraveling. Within the resulting economic hardships that hit (especially American) farmers and other ordinary citizens, anti-government movements flourished, giving victims at least some explanation (however inaccurate) of the causes of their plights. The farming crisis during the 1980s, for example, had multiple causes, and its impact upon rural America was devastating. Economically and politically:

[t]he years 1981-1986 were a defining period for agriculture in the United States. During this time, the farm sector experienced its worst financial crisis since the Great Depression of the 1930s. The resulting turmoil cost many farm families their vocations, lifestyles, and accumulated wealth. While farm families were the hardest hit, impacts were felt throughout rural communities. Also affected were those economic sectors that support production agriculture, such as manufacturing and marketing of agricultural inputs, and most notably, agricultural finance (Barnett, 2000: 366).

An estimated 235,000 American farms failed during the mid-1980s, dragging down with them an estimated 60,000 supportive and surrounding businesses (Gorelick, 2000: 2).

During this same time, Canadians experienced a dramatic rise in interest rates, from 10.31% in August 1978 to 21.46% in September 1981. Many people who had to renegotiate their mortgages during this period simply could not do so. I am unable to find an exact number of property foreclosures during this period, but one analysis of Canadian mortgages history concluded that, "Clearly, many established owners were

defaulting on their mortgages, unable to make payments on homes purchased in the optimistic late 1970s in the restrained 1980s" (CanadaMortgage, n.d.: 5).

During the American farm crisis of the 1980s, a number of banks failed, which were dependent upon the payments of farmers' debts and mortgages, but the major banking crisis during this period overlapped with the farming crisis. Called the Savings and Loan Crisis, "between 1986-1995, over 1,000 banks with total assets of over \$500 billion failed. By 1999, the Crisis cost \$153 billion, with taxpayers footing the bill for \$124 billion, and the S&L [savings and loans] industry paying the rest" (Amadeo, 2013).

Even larger was the bank crisis in 2008, which led to a government bailout of \$700 billion (Madrick, 2013: 14) to various banks, financial institutions, and corporations .Coupled with this bank crisis was another real estate crisis, with approximately 3.9 million foreclosures occurring in the U.S. between September 2008 and December 2012 (Newsroom America Staff), involving 10 million people (Michaels, 2013: 1). A shocking number, however, of these foreclosure victims lost properties illegally, with ten American banks (in January 2013) agreeing to pay "3.8 million victims up to \$125,000 depending upon the extent of the bank abuse" (Michaels, 2013: 1). Not surprisingly, "[c]ritics believe that the settlement does not do enough to restore justice for families suffering from criminal lending by banks" (Michaels, 2013: 2). One can sympathize with citizens viewing the government, the banks that it supposedly regulates, and the judicial system that supposedly ensures justice, as illegitimate and conspiratorial against ordinary people. In line with the actions of some OPCA adherents (albeit for different motives), an Occupy Homes movement sprang up in 2011, involving homeowners and sympathetic activists demanding justice in the procedures by living in houses facing foreclosure, despite the risk of

intimidation and arrest by police (Michaels, 2013: 2). To the extent that the Anti-Defamation League is correct in identifying both "people who are financially stressed" and "people who are angry at government, especially government regulation" (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 10), as ones most likely to join anti-government movements, then recent political and economic events in America (and to some degree, Canada) have contributing heartily to the growth of these groups.

Nowhere in the Western world is farming a stable source of income, and farmers outside of the United States certainly face difficulties that reflect climatic conditions in interaction with governmental policies and increasingly global pressure. For example, in the two years preceding the year 2000, "UK farm income ha[d] dropped by as much as 75 percent ..., driving more than 20,000 farmers from the land" (Gorelidk, 2000: 1). In 2001, ninety percent of British farmers felt the impact of the foot-and-mouth disease outbreak (Rayner, 2013), but current problems are greater. The gravity of current problems stem from the "appalling weather" (most recently, floods) combined with more animal illnesses (Hunt ,2013), leading to income reductions of between forty and fifty percent of previous levels (Rayner, 2013b: 1). Perhaps these and other conditions (such as the Occupy London protests in 2011) have led to some individuals turning toward a British version of the Freemen, but barristers have been quite clear that such practices will lead to jail-time (RationalWiki:Copyrights, 2013: 2).

The British and Irish Freemen Debate

The recent British debate about the Freemen seems to begin in 2010 when Professor John Kersey from the European-American University published a sympathetic analysis of the movement in a British libertarian magazine. He concluded his analysis with the statement:

Although the issue of whether these [Freeman] principles have a firm basis in law is of considerable interest, it is, as we have said, not the sole or even the most important aspect of the Freeman movement. The key to the importance of that movement lies in the assertion of the sovereignty of the individual, the opposition to the bureaucratic state, and the willingness through lawful and peaceful means to disrupt the operations of that state where they are perceived to transgress upon the inalienable rights of the individual, That disruption to the system of civil law has the potential to effect fundamental change in the basis of the relationship between the state and the individual (Kersey, 2010: 4)

Evidence that some Freeman ideas had entered popular culture came in March 2011 whenan unspecified number of protesters espousing Freeman-related concepts "tried to arrest a judge after storming into a courtroom [i]n Merseyside [located in north-west England]. The activists went into the room at Birkenhead County Court, while about 300 protestors gathered outside the building." A leaflet accused both the court and the judge of operating under maritime law, and that the group was attempting the take-over in order to ensure its Magna Carta rights (Law Society Gazette, 2011) Later that year (in August 2011), when a newspaper published an article about a mother and her investigator who had been involved in the manufacture and dissemination of false child sexual abuse allegations against the father. To the court the investigator gave her name as "Elizabeth of the Watson Family," which is a typical way that Freemen attempt to demonstrate their sovereignty (by rejecting last names as a form of corporate domination by the state [PA Media Lawyer, 2011]). Five days later in a London Administrative Court, a man followed the same pattern with his last name when providing it to the judge. He called himself "Norman of the Family Scarth (The

Living Man)" (Williams, 2011). On author, Carl Gardner (2011a), noticed the Freeman language and wrote a short piece about it, and he was to reappear in a big debate that occurred later in the year.

A fury of words, however, about Freemen came forth after the *Guardian* newspaper carried comments by two different bloggers who attended the 2011 Occupy London protest. One of them, Jon Witterick, wrote about his resistance to debt collectors, which was partly inspired by Mary Elizabeth Croft's Freeman book, *How I Clobbered Every Cash Confiscatory Bureau* (Witterick, 2011). A second commentary, by a person who called himself "commonly known as dom" wrote about the law as a prison that enslaves "by a body of rules and statutory instruments" surrounding items like one's birth certificates and automobile registrations (commonly known as dom, 2011).

Then people involved with the British legal system jumped in. On the same day as the *Guardian* commentaries appeared in print, Adam Wagner—in a UK Human Rights blog—responded to them, He revealed that, during the previous month, he had served on a jury in which the defendant fired his legal team and attempted to defend himself using Freeman principles. The jury, however, found him guilty of seven out of eight charges of car theft (Wagner, 2011: 2). He then identified some of the debt-payment-refusal and Freeman rhetoric that came out of the Occupy London protests, but concluded:

This stuff is dangerous and it does people harm. The common link between the get out of debt and freeman articles is that both promote the idea that if you believe hard enough that the financial or legal system does not exist, or is a gigantic fraud, then your problems will disappear along with the system.... These ideas are most attractive to desperate, vulnerable people who are going through terrible times in their lives. They are also classic conspiracy theories.... (Wagner, 2011: 2).

Wagner called his blog entry, "Freemen of the dangerous nonsense" (Wagner, 2011: 1)

The next response to the *Guardian* articles appeared—also on the same day—in a legal blog written by someone who went by the pseudonym, Legal Bizzle, and it was scathing. He called the opinions expressed by 'commonly known as dom' "utter woo," adding, "But 'educating' a protest movement who [sic] frankly need all the genuinely legal help they [sic] can get, in this risible shite? That's not 'lawful rebellion', it's irresponsible (Legal Bizzle, 2011a: 4).

The day after the two *Guardian* commentaries appeared, the paper published a response by Carl Gardner that also was critical:

The love freemen show for magic texts, incantations and ritual is not just funny: it shows a strange, childlike respect for the trappings of justice, and a commitment to jargon not even the stuffiest solicitor can match. This thinking is to law as crystal healing is to medicine and, like fake healing, it is not as harmless as it appears (Gardner, 2011b: 1).

He concluded his essay by pleading:

But law is the friend of political progress, not its enemy. Making companies and rich individuals pay their share will depend on exactly those legal and enforcement mechanisms that freemen see to undermine, and on the rule of law that they mock. Freemanism stands implicitly against social progress, for a libertarian world is one where everyone's a law unto himself and

where the state has no right and no role. We need to be aware of this nonsense so as to resist it (Gardner, 2011: 2).

The blogger, Legal Bizzle, returned to the debate, this time publishing in the *Guardian* itself. He concluded his essay with yet another condemnation of Freeman philosophy and practice:

Even in good economic times, many people struggle with debt, and these are very far from good times. I can understand the feeling that lenders pushed easy credit to people who could never repay it, and I won't try to defend aggressive debt collection tactics. But defaulting is not the easy option that Jon Witterick makes it out to be. There is no magic bullet for debt problems.... On the contrary, there is every chance that such strategies will make things worse, for the debtor and (through higher credit costs, for everyone else) the wider economy (Legal Bizzle, 2011b: 2).

Clearly the *Guardian* had unleashed a firestorm. When, however, the magazine for the judiciary of England and Wales ran a two page article on the Freemen in 2012, it limited criticisms only to showing some examples of Freeman strategies failing in court (*Benchmark*, 2012: 19).

Similar interest in the Freemen, followed by hostile responses from barristers, occurred in Ireland (RationalWiki: Copyrights, 2013: 2) —a country crippled by a debt crisis. In May 2010, Stephen Sutton received a traffic ticket for speeding, driving without a license, and driving without insurance, but disrupted his Kilcock District Court hearing with typical antics. He denied "that he as the 'legal fiction Stephen Sutton' and ask[ed] that he be addressed as 'Stephen of the Family Sutton'. He then questioned the nature of the fine and of the authority of the garda to have stopped him. He continued by questioning which law—maritime admiralty or common law—

the court was operating under. The judge had him removed from the courtroom (Rooney, 2012: 13). Later in the year (September 2010), Kenny Sludds (or Kenny of the Family Sludds) threatened to charge garda with rates of up to €2,000 per hour for their impositions on him regarding a legal matter (Rooney, 2012: 13). Then in August 2011, another member of the Sludds family (Bobby) challenged a judge to produce his oath of office. He received a suspended sentence for not having ensured his automobile and pay the auto tax, but when Bobby finally agreed to sign a bond to keep the peace, initially he did so using another name. (Presumably he was mixing the use of his secular name with a Freeman one [Rooney, 2012: 12]). In early March 2012, "a Freeman has appeared in an injunction application before the High Court, and an earlier High Court case in 2011 involving securitisation of loans like had involved a Freeman (Rooney, 2012: 15).

In March 2012, Irish barrister, Fergal Crehan, wrote a media piece that echoed the concerns of his English counterparts concerning Freeman law:

The Freeman theory is the legal equivalent of quack medicine. It's often hilarious, but it can be dangerous. There are a lot of frightened and vulnerable people out ther, and as with quack medicine, the attraction of a simple solution is great. Given the current public mood, anything that seems to stick it to The Man has an appeal.

I'm not happy with endless government charges or with banks repossessing houses, anymore than anyone else is, but if people put trust in the guff, some of them will find themselves in jail (Crehan, 2012:5).

For Crehan, the final test of Freeman law was its success rate in court, but "[t]here is literally not one single instance, worldwide, of Freeman arguments ever succeeding before a court ... (Crehan, 2012: 4).

The New Zealand and Australian Freemen Debate

American sovereign citizens have undertaken speaking trips to New Zealand and Australia (Anti-Defamation League, 2010: 15)—countries whose farmers also struggle (in their cases, often because of drought [Perry, 2013; Thompson, 2013]), and supporters in both countries have established relatively small but typical Internet websites. One Australian group calling itself United Rights Australia is attempting to stimulate discussion of numerous issues, many of which are typical sovereign concerns: taxes, fines, property rights, sentencing, etc. (U R Australia, n.d.). An Internet site from Perth, Australia gives a basic statement of Sovereign beliefs (i.e., rejection of being a 'person' created by the state, the ascendency of "natural law," the rejection of hidden of unrevealed 'contracts,' issues involving taxes, birth certificates, marriage licenses, etc. (Kimosabi, 2008]). Another site reproduces protest letters sent to Australia's Commission of Taxation (Authority of the Tax Office Questioned, n. d.), and still another argues that the Commonwealth of Australia is a corporation (Commonwealth of Australia is a Corporation, n, d.). From these web sites, however, it is impossible to determine how many adherents to these positions live in the country.

While frustrated, angry-at-government people seem to pre-dispose some persons to anti-government activity, and—through the Internet, prison recruitments, and seminars-- various con artists flourish by hawking 'get rich quick' schemes to financially stressed individuals, an additional possibility exists that at least a few people in both leadership and regular positions suffer from forms of mental illness. When, for example, Associate Chief Justice Rooke offered his written opinion about OPCA Litigants, one of the cases from which he quoted (on a decision concerning submissions by a Moorist law adherent) suggested that the litigants either were delusional or suffered some type of mental impairment (Rooke, 2012: para. 180).

Rooke cited a District of Columbia case where the court ruling described a Freeman plaintiff's argument as one of "fantastic or delusional scenarios" that may have reflected "delusional thinking (Rooke, 2012: para. 180). Reaching a different ruling on a Moorish law related case, the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, Immigration Appeal, Division, decided that a Moorish law statement to it was not written by someone who was mad and delusional, but instead was written by someone making a political statement (Rooke, 2012: see 1196). Without pushing the question of mental health too far, suffice it to say that psychiatry's *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV TR (DSM)* includes behaviour characteristic of sovereign citizens, Freemen, and other OPCA litigants.

In its section on delusional disorders, the *DSM* has a discussion of "Associated Feature and Disorders." That discussion begins as follows:

Social, marital, or work problems can result from the delusional beliefs of Delusional Disorder. Ideas of reference (e.g., that random events are of special significance) are common in individuals with this disorder. Their interpretation of these events is usually consistent with the content of their delusional beliefs. Many individuals with Delusional Disorder develop irritable or dysphoric mood[s], which can be understood as a reaction to their delusional beliefs. Especially with the Persecutory and Jealous Types, marked anger and violent behaviour can occur. That individual may engage in litigious behaviour, sometimes leading to hundreds of letters of protest to government and judicial officials and many court appearances (American Psychiatric Association, 2000:

Section 297.1 Delusional Disorder: Associated Features and Disorders).

Although Associate Chief Justice Rooke's lengthy and detailed decision does not mention the possibility of some of these individuals having this disorder as a clinical

condition, his comments about their behaviors are in line with aggressive and disruptive behaviours described in the *DSM*. As Rooke indicated, "In the United States, Sovereign Men are notorious for their violent conduct, intimidation of state and court personnel, and their misuse of legal processes to engage in 'paper terrorism" (Rooke, 2012: para. 181). While the Canadian Sovereign Men seem to be less violent than their American counterparts, their behaviours still are close to those of the Americans on a continuum of problematic and disruptive actions.

Conclusion

While OPEC litigants and related anti-governmentalists have no chance of receiving legal recognition from any country in which they operate, they are important to study in part because they reveal a segment of the population that is profoundly alienated from society. In the United States, for example, these people share a deep distrust of federal government with other groups such as the libertarian, Republicanleaning Tea Party members, the former military Oath-Takers (who usually are soldiers who believe that their military oath to defend the Constitution carries over to a civilian obligation to resist illegal federal activities [Sharrock, 2010]), and Patriots and militias (who are preparing for a war with the government [Larizza, 1995-1996; Smith, 1997; Stern. 1996]). At some point, such virulent opposition to one's nation potentially disrupts if not undermines government's ability to rule. The judiciary suffers damage; law enforcement becomes even more dangerous; normal commerce and banking is disrupted; and otherwise ordinary people waste portions of their lives studying and producing what one Ontario judge called "all manner of absurdity and silliness" (ODonnell, 2013: n. 4). Their efforts do nothing to address what very well may be legitimate and egregious actions on the part of the state and its agents, since they come across to most people as having left the normal range of reality—an

interpretation that, at times, might even be correct in a psychiatric context). If, in their best moments, these litigious, anti-government movements identify very real, governmentally involved social political, and economic injustices, their ineffective but disruptive and often threatening rhetoric and actions simply allow officials to dismiss them.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Amadeo, Kimberly. 2013. "Savings and Loans Crisis." *About.com US Economy*; Downloaded from:

http://useconomy.about.com/od.grossdomesticproduct/p89_Bank_Crisis.htm on April 2, 2013: 1 p.

American Psychiatric Association. 2000. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV Text Revision*. Washington, D.C.; Internet Download.

Anti-Defamation League. 2005. "Sovereign Citizen Movement." Downloaded from: http:

www.adl/org/learn/ext_us/SCM.asp?LEARN_Cat=Extremism&LEARN_SubCat=Extremism_in_America&xpicked=4&item=sov> on October 26, 2011: 8 pp.

-----. 2010. "The Lawless Ones: The Resurgence of the sovereign Citizen Movement." An Anti-Defamation League Special Report. (August 9).

Authority of the Tax Office Questioned. n.d. 8 pp.; Downloaded from: http://www.gwb.com.au/gwb.news.multi/tax.html on April 2, 2013.

Barnett, Barry J. 2000. "The U. S. Farm Financial Crisis of the 1980s." Agricultural History 74 No.2 (Spring): 366-380. Benchmark [A Magazine for the Judiciary of England and Wales]. 2012.

"Nonsense or Loophole?" "Freemen on the Land." 57 (February): 18-19,

Bell, Stewart. 2010. "Who Are Canada's 'Freemen'?" National Post (October 29).

Calbrese, Maria. 2012. "Squatters Blame Cult for Their Crimes." *QMI Agency* (February 1); Downloaded from:

http://www.edmontonsun.com/2012/02/01'squatters-blame-cult-for-their-crimes on April 16, 2012.

CanadaMortgage,com. n. d. "The Evolution of the Mortgage Market in Canadian Context." 6pp.; Downloaded from:

http://www.canadamortgage.com/articles/learning.cfm?DocID=34&CFID=...&CFTOK EN=86657438> on March 23, 2013.

Cardwell, Mark. 2013. "The 'Scourge' of Unrepresented Litigants." *Canadian Lawyer* (January); Downloaded from: http://www.canadianlawyermag.com/4463/the-scourge-of-unrepresented-litigats.html on March 31, 2013.

CBS Interactive, Inc. 2013. "Moorish Nationals: Religious Group or Opportunists?" (March 25); Internet Download.

Chard, Ian. 2011. "Sovereign'Citizens in the UK: A Study in Nonsense." Rainbow Chard [web page]. (November 10): 2pp.; Downloaded from: http://rainbow.chard.org/2011/11/10/sovereign-citizens-in-the-uk-a-study-in-nonense/ on April 9, 2013.

'commonly known as dom.' 2011."We Are the Change: Welfare, Education and Law at the Occupy Camp: Law: 'The Prison Without Bars is made by Bits of Paper."

Guardian (November 15): Internet Download.

Commonwealth of Australia is a Corporation. n.d. "Government as Foreign Corporation." 8 pp.; Downloaded from:

http://www/peoplesmandate.iinet.au/Government_as_Foreign_corporation.html on April 2, 2013.

Crehan, Fergal. 2013. "Column: Ignore the Conspiracy Theories—The Household charge Must Be Paid." *The Journal* [Ireland]. (March 3): 2 pp.; Downloaded from: http://www.thejournal.ie/readme/column-ignore-the-conspiracy-theories-the-houehold-charge-must-be-paid/ on April 9, 2013.

FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin. 2011. "Sovereign Citizens: A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement" (September); Downloaded from: http://www.fbi.gov.stats-services/publications/law-enforcement-bulletin/september-2011/sovereign-citizens: 3 pp.

Fleishman, David. 2004. "Paper Terrorism: The Impact of the 'Sovereign Citizen' on Local Government." *The Public Law Journal:* 7-10; Available at:

www.calbar.ca.gov/publiclaw

Gardner, Carl. 2011a. "Self-Styled Outlaws." Blog. August 26): 2 pp.;

Downloaded from: http://headoflegal.com/2011/08/26/self-styled-outlaws/ on April 9, 2013.

-----. 2011b. "The Law is Not the Enemy of Protest But an Essential Tool of Impartiality." *Guardian* (November 16); 2 pp.; Downloaded from\:http://www/guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/libertycentral/2011/nov/16/law-protest-occupy-freemen/print on April 8, 2013.

Ghaneabassira, Kambiz. 2010. A History of Islam in America: From the New World to the New World Order. New York: Cambridge University Press

Gorelick, Steven. 2000. "The Farm Crisis: How We Are Killing the Small Farmer." *The Ecologist* (June): 21 pp.'

Hallissy, Erin. 1995. "Lien and Mean/Intimidation of Government Officials with

Pseudo-legal Claims on Their Property and the Chilling Ambush of a County Recorder Herald an Ominous New Direction for the Anti-tax Movement," *SF Gate* (July 16).

Kent, Stephen A.; and Robin Willey. 2013. "Sects, Cults, and the Attack on Jurisprudence," *Rutgers Journal of Law and Religion* Spring, forthcoming).

Kersey, John. 2010. "The Freeman on the Land Movemeent: Grass Roots Libertarianism in Action." *Legal Notes No. 50.* An Occasional Publication of the Libertarian Alliance5 pp,; Downloaded from:

http://www.libertarian.co.uk/lapubs/legan/legan050.htm on April 8, 2013.

Kimosabi. 2008. "Affidavit of Truth." 6 pp.; Available On-line.

Larizza, R.J. 1995-1996. "Paranoia, Patriotism, and the Citizen Militia Movement: Constitutional right or Criminal Conduct?" *Mercer Law Review* 47: 581-636.

Law Society Gazette [Ireland]. 2011. "Captain's Blawg: The Rule of 'Oleron Law'?" (November): 64; Downloaded from: www.gazett.ie.

Legal Bizzle. 2011a. "Comment is Free, But Woo I Sacred." Blog. (November 15): 4 pp.; Downloaded from: http://legalbizzle.wordpress.com/2011/11/15/comment-is-frr-but-woo-iw-sacred/ on April 8, 2013.

-----. 2011b. "The Freeman-on-the-Land Strategy is no Magic Bullet for Debt Problems." *Guardian* (November 18): 3pp.; Downloaded from:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree.2011/nov/18/freeman-land-stategy-bullet-debt/print> on April 8, 2013.

Mathews, Karen. 1997. "The Terrorist Next Door." New York Times (June 1, reprinted September 26, 2010): 16.

Madrick, Jeff. 2013. "Too Little, Too Late: Why?" New York Review of Books (March 7): 14-16.

Michaels, Martin. 2013. "10 Banks Come to \$8.5 Billion Settlement for Victims of Foreclosure Abuse." *Mint Press News* (January 9); Downloaded from:

http://www.mintpressnews.com/10-banks-come-to-8-5-billion-settlement-for-victims-of-foreclosure-abuse/ on April 2, 2013: 3pp.

MacNab, J. J. 2011. "Jared Lee Loughner: Lost in Translation." (January 10); in "Bombs, Taxes, and Red Crayons: pp.10-13; Downloaded from: http://www.redcrayons.net/ on April 9, 2013.

Newsroom America staff. 2012. "Number of Foreclosures Down 17 Percent Year on Year: CoreLogic," (December 3): Downloaded from:

http://www.newsroomamerica.com/story/331532/number_of_foreclosures_down_17_percent_year_on_year_corelogic_.html on April 6, 2013: 3pp.

New Zealand Suffering Biggest Drought in 30 Years." Associated Press (March 14): 2 pp.; Downloaded from: http://www.nbcnews.com/id/51174818/ns/us_new-environment/ on April 7, 2013.

ODonnell, Justice Fergus. 2013. "he Majesty the Queen and Matthew Duncan."

Ontario Court of Justice, St. Catharines (March 26): 12 pp.; Citation: R. v. Duncan 2013

ONCJ 160

O'Flanagan, Rob. 2012. "Guelph Beak-In Case Spurs Interest in Freeman Movement." *Guelph Mercury* [Ontario, Canada]. (March 6): 1p.; Downloaded from: www.guelphmercury.com/news/local/article/682578--guelph-break-in-spurs-interest in freeman-movement .

PA Media Lawyer. 2011. "Judge Names Adults in Sex Abuse Case at Family Court." *PressGazette* [United Kingdom]. August 23): 4 pp.; Downloaded from: http://www.pressgazette.co.uk/print/47751 on April 9. 2013.

Potok, Mark. 2013. "Antigovernment 'Patriot' Movement Expands for the fourth

year in a Row." Southern Poverty Law Center Intelligence Report. March 7); Downloaded from: www.alternet.org on March 9, 2013: 4 pp.

RationalWiki:Copyrights, 2013. "Freeman on the Land" (March 23; 10 pp.); Available on-line.

Rayner, Jay. 2013b. "UK Farmers Face Disaster as 'Perfect Storm." *Observer* (February 23); Available On-line.

-----. 2013a. . "British Farming Crisis: 'People Don't Realize How Tough Everything is." *Observer* (March 16); Available On-line.

Redemptive Press, 2006. "Christian Faith Under Attack in Treasonous Saskatoon Courts." (June 1); Downloaded from:

http://maritimes.indymedia.org/news/2006/06/12775.php/.

Regional Organized Crime Information Center. 2009. "Moorish Nation: Sovereign Citizen Movement." ROCIC Special Report: 19pp.

Rooke, J. D. 2012. "Reasons for Decision of the Associate Chief Justice J.D. Rooke." In Crystal Lynne Meads (Appellant) and Dennis Larry Meads (Respondent), Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta/ Date: 20120918 [September 18, 2012]; Docket: 4803 155609; Registry: Edmonton. Legal Citation: Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571.

Rooney, Keith. 2012. "Land of the Free, Home of the Deluded." *Law Society Gazette* [Ireland]. (April): 12-13, 15; Downloaded from: www.gazette.ie.

Sharrock, Justine. 2010. "Oath Keepers and the Age or Treason." *Mother Jones* (March/April); Available On-line.

Smith, Thompson. 1997. "The Patriot Movement: Refreshing the Tree of Liberty with Fertilizer Bombs and the Blood of Martyrs." *Valparaiso University Law Review* 32 No. 1: 269-347; Available On-line at: http://scholar.valpo.edu/vulr/vol32/iss1/8.

Southern Poverty Law Center. 2013. "Sovereign Citizens Movement;"

Downloaded from: http://www.splcenter.org/get-informed/intelligence-

files/ideology/sovereign-citizens-movement > on January 16, 2012: 3 pp.

State Justice Institute, 1999. The Anti-Government Movement Guidebook.

Williamsburg, Virginia: National Center for State Courts; Available for download.

Stern, Kenneth S. 1996. A Force Upon the Plain: The American Militia Movement and the Politics of Hate. New York: Simon and Shuster.

Thompson, Brad, 2013. "Wheatbelt Farmers Rally a Crisis Bites." West

Australian Apri, 2013.15); Downloaded from: http://au/news.yahoo.com/thewest/a/-wa/6565480/wheatbelt-farmers-rally-as-crisis-bites/ on April 7, 2013: 1p.

Trott, Stephen S. 1999. "Opinion." *USA v Hopper*. U, S, 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, 97-10445 (May 20).

U R Australia. n.d. "U R Australia About." Downloaded from:

http://www.facebook.com/pages/U-R-Australia.239654226107792?sk=info on April 2, 2013: 2 pp.

Wagner, Adam. 2011. "Freemen of the Dangerous Nonsense." UK Human rights Blog. (November 15): 3 pp.; Downloaded from:

http://ukhumanrightsblog.com/2011/11/15/freemen-of-the-dangerous-nonsense/ on April 8

Williams, Mr. Justice Wyn. 2011. "Between Norman of the Family Scarth (The Living Man) and (1) Governor of HM Prison Armley (2) The Secretary of State for Justice. HTML Version of Reasons for Decision." *High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Administrative Court.* (August 26); Natural Citation Number: [2011]EWHC 2269 (Admin) Case No. CO/7741/2011.

Witterick, Jon. 2011. "Yes, Defaulting on Debts is an Option." *Guardian* (November 15); 2 pp.; Internet Download.